

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,747	11/	09/2006	Pinchas Shalev	127/04378	127/04378 7965	
44909 PRTSI	7590	05/12/2009		EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 16			RALIS, STEPHEN J			
Arlington, VA 22215				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3742		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				05/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/533,747	SHALEV ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
STEPHEN J. RALIS	3742		

	STEPHEN J. RALIS	3742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request					
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	lension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
	lianna with 37 CER 44 37 must be 4	Eladithin two wonths	a of the date of					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 								
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the proposed amendment of the proposed amend		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/TU B HOANG/	(Otb 1 D-5: '							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742	/Stephen J Ralis/ Examiner, Art Unit 3742							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations of at least "wherein the wire is positioned such that it may touch the skin during operation" recited in independent claims 1 and 13 and dependent claims 2-12 and 16-29 have not been previously presented and would require further consideration and/or a new search. The examiner further respectfully requests that applicant direct the examiner to the disclosure for any new recited limitations.